UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,910	08/31/2001	J. Stuart Cumming	13533.4030	2364
	7590 07/15/200 RINGTON & SUTCL		EXAMINER	
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
4 PARK PLAZ. SUITE 1600	4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558		3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/943,910	CUMMING, J. STUART	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13 and 16-60</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,16,18-20,2.</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-13,17,22,25-27,30,32-45,50-55,5</u> 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>46-49</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>21 and 31</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	3 <u>,24,28,29,56 <i>and 58</i></u> is/are withdra <u>7,59 <i>and 60</i> is/are allowed.</u>	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a content and	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/2/08 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

Claims 21 and 31 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims require the same subject matter that is already disclosed in the last (protuberances extend from anterior and or posterior sides of the haptics) and second (the extensions are haptics) paragraphs of their independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Keates et al. USPN 5,171,319 in view of Schlegel USPN 4,673,406.

Keates discloses the invention substantially as claimed being an intraocular lens system comprising a lens 20, extensions 32, 2 protrusions 24, and narrow grooves 18 that extend transversely across the extensions from one end to the other. However, Keates does not disclose at least 4 protrusions on the extensions.

Schlegel teaches the use of an intraocular lens comprising 4 protuberances 15 shown best in figure 4 in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of anchoring the implant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the 4 separate protuberances with the implant of Keates in order to better anchor the implant.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-13, 17, 22, 25-27, 30, 32-45, 50-55, 57, 59 and 60 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 46-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is advised to amend claim 46 to resemble the other independent claims.

Art Unit: 3738

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE whose telephone number is (571)272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner
Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/

/Corrine M McDermott/

Application/Control Number: 09/943,910

Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738